

ile

10 August 2020

Liverpool City Council  
Locked Bag 7064  
Liverpool BC NSW 1871

Dear Sir/Madam

**INTEGRATED DESIGNATED DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL**

**Re DA-404/2021 – Lot 6 DP 245146 – 10 WOLSTENHOLME AVENUEW, GREENDALE NSW 2745**

We have written before in objection to this proposal which was previously submitted under  
DA-483/2020 – Lot 6 DP 245146 -10 Wolstenholme Avenue Greendale

I object to this proposal on a number of issues.

Since the previous application, cattle numbers have been reduced in the main feedlot however the animal effluent runoff still runs straight into the dam directly below the feedlot. This dam was built only a few years ago by the owner and is generally badly polluted. When we have a wet season and dams are all full as they are at present, the effluent overflows into the neighbour's dams and each of them ends up with a green slimy sludge over the surface. We have watched helplessly as native birds have become trapped by this scum which now is on our main dam. We have been here 50 years and prior to the feedlot being operated, this current pollution was never present. The valley subsequently feeds to the Nepean River so the effluent will ultimately end up there.

The cattle are mainly held in one yard which is long since bare of any grass. Although cattle numbers are currently lower in the yard if the feedlot is approved, we have no doubt that the previous numbers will return.

Since the feedlot has operated and, in some weather conditions, the stench from the animal faeces is considerable and most unpleasant and we are almost a kilometre away. There are several houses between the feedlot and us as well as adjacent houses on the opposite side, and they must be even more severely impacted. During the warmer months, the flies are in huge numbers and are worse than any time previously and we have been here for 48 years.

This area is still rated RU1 – rural and there are many illegal business's operating in the area. If the landscape supply business is passed there will be many such operators who will lodge DAs for part farming and other nebulous operations which really are commercial/ industrial operations and trucking yards that do not meet the rural zoning.

This particular property does not look like a landscaping business. It would appear to be a commercial truck maintenance yard. There are many truck operators who have moved into the area and I doubt that any of them would satisfy the Rural classification of the area.

I have attached a summary of the issues raised by many local residents.

Yours sincerely,

